

OU-HET 281
November 1997

Exact Results in $N_c = 2$ IIB Matrix Model

Takao SUYAMA* AND Asato TSUCHIYA†

*Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University,
Toyonaka, Osaka 560, Japan*

Abstract

We investigate $N_c = 2$ case of IIB matrix model, which is exactly soluble. We calculate the partition function exactly and obtain a finite result without introducing any cut-off. We evaluate some correlation functions consisting of Wilson loops.

*e-mail address : suyama@funpth.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

†e-mail address : tsuchiya@funpth.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Recently IIB matrix model has been proposed as a candidate for non-perturbative definitions of superstring theory [1]. This model is the large- N reduced model of ten-dimensional super Yang-Mills theory. The model has manifest $N = 2$ super Poincare invariance and is related to the Schild-type action [2] for the Green-Schwarz type IIB superstring [3]. At one-loop level it describes low-energy behavior for the interactions of D-branes consistently. A remarkable feature is that in this model space-time is generated dynamically as eigenvalues or diagonal elements of matrices. Furthermore it has been shown in ref. [4] that the light-cone string field theory for type IIB superstring [5] can be derived from the Schwinger-Dyson equations for Wilson loops. This means that IIB matrix model reproduces the standard perturbative series of superstring through $1/N$ expansion. Related model have been proposed in refs. [6-17] and further investigations on this model performed in refs. [18-26]. In this way, we have almost confirmed that IIB matrix model is a candidate of nonperturbative definitions of superstring theory. Our next task is to understand properties of the model better and to extract nonperturbative effects of superstring by approximating the model properly or performing numerical simulations. In particular, we should clarify mechanism for dynamical generation of space-time. In this letter, as a first step to this end, we investigate $N_c = 2$ case of IIB matrix model. We calculate the partition function and some correlation functions consisting of Wilson loops exactly.³ We discuss some implications of our results.

IIB matrix model is defined by the action

$$S = -\frac{1}{g^2} \left(\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}[A_\mu, A_\nu]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\bar{\psi} \Gamma^\mu [A_\mu, \psi]) \right), \quad (1)$$

³A similar calculation of the partition function has been performed in a different context in ref. [27]. and given a different result.

where A_μ and ψ are $N_c \times N_c$ hermitian matrices, and A_μ and ψ have ten-dimensional vector and Majorana-Weyl spinor index respectively. We consider naively that we need to restrict the eigenvalues of A_μ 's in the path integration from $-\pi/a$ to π/a , where $1/a$ is some infrared cut-off.

In $N_c = 2$, A_μ and ψ can be expressed as⁴

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &= \sum_{a=1}^3 \frac{1}{2} \sigma^a A_\mu^a, \\ \psi &= \sum_{a=1}^3 \frac{1}{2} \sigma^a \psi^a, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where σ^a 's are the Pauli matrices. First let us consider the partition function for this case,

$$Z = \int \prod_{a=1}^3 d^{10} A^a d^{16} \psi^a e^{-S}. \quad (3)$$

We can perform integrations over ψ 's and obtain the following fermion determinant (pfaffian), up to some numerical constant.

$$\begin{aligned} Pf &= \frac{1}{g^{48}} (Tr([A_\mu, A_\nu][A^\nu, A_\rho][A^\rho, A^\mu]))^4 \\ &= \frac{1}{g^{48}} ((A^1)^2 (A^2)^2 (A^3)^2 - (A^1)^2 (A^2 \cdot A^3)^2 - (A^2)^2 (A^3 \cdot A^1)^2 \\ &\quad - (A^3)^2 (A^1 \cdot A^2)^2 + 2(A^1 \cdot A^2)(A^2 \cdot A^3)(A^3 \cdot A^1))^4. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

To perform integrations over A_μ 's, we set

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^3 &= L \\ A_i^3 &= 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, 9), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

⁴Here we do not treat the overall $U(1)$ part of matrices proportional to 2×2 identity matrix, which gives the conservation of total momentum in correlation functions of Wilson loops.

by using the Lorentz invariance. We also parametrize A^1 and A^2 as

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^1 &= a_1, \quad A_0^2 = a_2, \\ |A_i^1| &= R_1, \quad |A_i^2| = R_2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^9 A_i^1 A_i^2 = R_1 R_2 \cos \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

After the above prescription, the bosonic part of the action (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_b &= \frac{1}{4g^2} (L^2(R_1^2 + R_2^2) + R_1^2 R_2^2 \sin^2 \theta \\ &\quad + a_1^2 R_2^2 + a_2^2 R_1^2 + 2a_1 a_2 R_1 R_2 \cos \theta), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and the pfaffian (4)

$$\frac{1}{g^{48}} L^8 R_1^8 R_2^8 \sin^8 \theta. \quad (8)$$

Here we have performed analytic continuations such as

$$L \rightarrow iL, \quad a_1 \rightarrow ia_1 \text{ and } a_2 \rightarrow ia_2. \quad (9)$$

The measure for the bosonic variables are evaluated as

$$\int \prod_{a=1}^3 d^{10} A^a = \int dL d\Omega_3^{(9)} L^9 \int da_1 da_2 \int dR_1 dR_2 d\Omega_1^{(8)} d\Omega_2^{(7)} d\theta R_1^8 R_2^8 \sin^7 \theta. \quad (10)$$

By gathering the above results and performing integrations over $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3, a_1, a_2$ and R_2 , we obtain, up to an overall numerical constant,

$$Z = \frac{1}{g^{30}} \int dL L^{17} \int dR_1 d\theta \frac{R_1^{15} \sin^{14} \theta}{(R_1^2 \sin^2 \theta + L^2)^8} e^{-\frac{1}{4g^2} L^2 R_1^2}. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore we can integrate out θ in the above expression. The result is

$$Z = \frac{1}{g^{30}} \int dL L^{16} \int dx \frac{x^7}{(x + L^2)^{\frac{15}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{4g^2} L^2 x}, \quad (12)$$

where $x = R_1^2$. After x integration, the integrand of L behaves as

$$L^9 L^{-24} \text{ in the large } L \text{ limit,} \quad (13)$$

and as

$$L^{15} \text{ in the small } L \text{ limit,} \quad (14)$$

and has no singularities. Therefore the partition function is finite without introducing any cut-off. Indeed, the value of the expression (12) is $\frac{1}{g^{21}} 2^7 B(\frac{7}{2}, 4) \Gamma(\frac{9}{2})$. We can also see that $\langle \sqrt{(A^3)^2} \rangle = \langle L \rangle \sim g^{1/4}$, where $g^{1/4}$ should be identified with $\alpha'^{1/2}$. Note here that L corresponds to the distance between two eigenvalues (diagonal elements) of A_μ 's. There are attractions in the long distance and repulsions in the short distance between the two eigenvalues. The potential is logarithmic in these regions. They constitute a bound state, which represents "space-time" spreading over the Planck length.

By using a similar technique, we can calculate a correlation function consisting of Wilson loops such as

$$\langle Tr(e^{iK^\mu A_\mu}) Tr(e^{-iK^\nu A_\nu}) \rangle, \quad (15)$$

where K^μ 's correspond to total momenta of strings. The result is

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{W(|K|)}{W(0)} \right), \quad (16)$$

where a function $W(x)$ is defined as

$$W(x) = \sum_{n=0}^3 \binom{3}{n} \Gamma\left(\frac{7}{2} + n\right) (-2)^n \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t^2 - \frac{K^2}{16t}} t^{m-3} H_{8-2n}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2t}}\right) \quad (17)$$

and $H_k(x)$ is the hermite polynomial. Note that the result (16) is also finite naturally since Wilson loops are bounded functions. We expect that it serves as a test for numerical simulations.

It is rather nontrivial that we obtain the finite partition function without introducing any cut-off. Let us consider two examples to clarify this point.

The first one is the reduced model of two-dimensional bosonic $U(N_c)$ Yang-Mills theory, which is soluble exactly and resembles supersymmetric theories as long as the counting of degrees of freedom goes. Its partition function is

$$Z_{2DYM} = \int dA^1 dA^2 e^{\frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr}[A^1, A^2]^2}. \quad (18)$$

We can diagonalize A^1 as

$$A^1 = U \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{N_c}) U^\dagger, \quad (19)$$

where U is a unitary matrix. Then we first perform the integration over the angular part U and obtain

$$Z_{2DYM} = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N_c} d\lambda_i dA^2 \prod_{i>j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 e^{-\frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{i>j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 |A_{ij}^2|^2}. \quad (20)$$

The integrations over the off-diagonal parts of A^2 lead to

$$Z_{2DYM} = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N_c} d\lambda_i dA_{ii}^2. \quad (21)$$

Thus we find that in this case we indeed need a cut-off $1/a$ for the $2N_c$ bosonic zero modes.

As the second example, we consider the one-loop effective action in the diagonal background in IIB matrix model,

$$A^\mu = \text{diag}(x_1^\mu, x_2^\mu, \dots, x_{N_c}^\mu), \quad (22)$$

$$\psi = 0. \quad (23)$$

We expand the action up to the second order in quantum fluctuations, which include only off-diagonal parts. It have been shown in ref. [1] that in this case the one-loop determinant coming from bosons cancel against those from

fermions and Faddeev-Popov ghosts. Therefore we obtain as the partition function

$$Z = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N_c} d^{10}x_i d^{16}\psi_{ii} \quad (24)$$

This gives zero due to $16N_c$ fermionic zero modes. We expect naively that in the more exact treatment the zeros from the fermionic zero modes are cancelled by infinities from bosonic zero modes and that the partition function is nonzero.

We have shown explicitly that this is the case for $N_c = 2$ and that the partition function is finite without any cut-off. We can verify that from one-loop calculation with the diagonal parts of fermions treated more elaborately we obtain long-distance behavior suppressing infrared divergences.⁵ For $N_c = 2$, that kind of one-loop calculation accounts for the long-distance behavior (13), which is derived from the exact result. This suggests that long-distance behavior is controlled in general by that kind of calculation and there are no infrared divergences for generic N_c .

In this article, we have shown that in $N_c = 2$ case "space-time" consisting of the two eigenvalues indeed exists due to a proper potential between them without diverging nor collapsing. To understand the dynamics of the eigenvalue gas in the realistic large N_c cases, we should clarify effects of entropy and many-body interactions between the eigenvalues. For the latter, it seems very useful to investigate the larger N_c cases intensively, for the simplest example, $N_c = 3$. We hope that we will report this subject elsewhere.

⁵We would like to thank H. Kawai for discussing this point.

One of us (A. T.) would like to thank H. Kawai for stimulating discussions and comments. He is also grateful to H. Aoki, S. Iso, Y. Kitazawa, J. Nishimura and T. Tada for discussions. We are obliged to our colleagues at Osaka University, especially H. Itoyama for discussions and reading the manuscript carefully.

References

- [1] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, Nucl. Phys. **B498** (1997) 467.
- [2] A. Schild, Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977) 1722.
- [3] M. Green and J. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. **136B** (1984) 367.
- [4] M. Fukuma, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchiya, hep-th/9705128, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B.
- [5] M. Green, J. Schwarz and L. Brink, Nucl. Phys. **B219** (1983) 437.
- [6] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 5112.
- [7] V. Periwal, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 1711.
- [8] A. Fayyazuddin, Y. Makeenko, P. Olesen, D.J. Smith and K. Zarembo, Nucl. Phys. **B499** (1997) 159.
- [9] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B500** (1997) 43.
- [10] T. Yoneya, Prog. Theor. Phys. **97** (1997) 949.

- [11] C.F. Kristjansen and P. Olesen, Phys. Lett. **B405** (1997) 45.
- [12] L. Chekhov and K. Zarembo, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 2331.
- [13] O. A. Solovev, hep-th/9707043.
- [14] N. Kitsunezaki and J. Nishimura, hep-th/9707162.
- [15] S. Hirano and M. Kato, hep-th/9708039.
- [16] H. Itoyama and A. Tokura, hep-th/9708123.
- [17] I. Oda, hep-th/9710030.
- [18] M. Li, Nucl. Phys. **B499** (1997) 149.
- [19] I. Chepelev, Y. Makeenko and K. Zarembo, Phys. Lett. **B400** (1997) 43.
- [20] A. Fayyazuddin and D.J. Smith, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 1447.
- [21] B. Sathiapalan, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A1** (1997) 1301.
- [22] I. Chepelev and A.A. Tseytlin, hep-th/9705120.
- [23] K.-J. Hamada, hep-th/9706187, to appear in Phys. Rev. D.
- [24] H. Sugawara, hep-th/9708029.
- [25] B. P. Mandal and S. Mukhopadhyay, hep-th/9709098.
- [26] A.K. Biswas, A.K. Kumar and G. Sengupta, hep-th/9711040.
- [27] M.B. Green and M. Gutperle, Phys. Lett. **B398** (1997) 69.